

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,327	03/29/2004	Paul James Broyles III	200313477-1	2718
22879	7590 03/25/2005		EXAMINER	
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY			DOUGHERTY, ANTHONY T	
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2863	

DATE MAILED: 03/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	4			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_			
	10/812,327	BROYLES ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_			
	Anthony T. Dougherty	2863				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the provided of the provided of the provided of the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted the provided of the provided of the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a rep ply within the statutory minimum of thirty if will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI te, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 /	March 2004.					
,	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed	ance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 March 2004 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obje	cted to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	nts have been received. nts have been received in Ap ority documents have been r	plication No				
application from the International Bures	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	acaived				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	or the certified copies not re	scerveu.				
·		,				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/29/04.		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 9 objected to because of the following informalities: Line 5 of claim 9 recites the limitation "in the computer and, is the storage device is still" (emphasis added), which is believed by the examiner to be a typographical error and "in the computer and, if the storage device is still" (emphasis for identification only) was meant instead. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4-12, 14-17, and 19-28 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,496,346 to Bruckner.

With regard to claim 1 the primary reference to Bruckner discloses a method for cooling a processor based device contained in a computer (see abstract), by determining the temperature of the device (see column 4 line 32-36), and adjusting computer operation so as to reduce the temperature of the device if that temperature is deemed to be too high (see column 2 line 58 through column 3 line 47). However, Bruckner fails to disclose specifically the processor based device is a storage device.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the temperature control method of Bruckner for a processor based device within a storage device that contains a processor.

Accordingly, such a modification would have been obvious since Bruckner teaches a processor based storage device such as a hard disk drive may suffer in performance if operated beyond certain temperature conditions (see column 1 line 40-45 & column 2 line 44-47), thereby suggesting the obviousness of the modification.

With regard to claim 2, and applying the rejection of claim 1 above, Bruckner discloses determining the temperature of the device by measuring the temperature of the device using a temperature sensor provided in or on the device (see column 4 line 32-36).

With regard to claim 4, and applying the rejection of claim 1 above, Suzuki et al. discloses reducing the temperature of the storage device by increasing the speed of a fan contained within the computer (see column 3 line 4-10).

With regard to claim 5, and applying the rejection of claim 1 above, Suzuki et al. discloses reducing the temperature of the storage device by adjusting the operation of a processor contained within the computer (see column 2 line 58-65).

With regard to claim 6, and applying the rejection of claim 5 above, Bruckner discloses reducing the clock speed of the processor (see column 2 line 58-65).

With regard to claim 7, and applying the rejection of claim 5 above, Bruckner discloses reducing a voltage provided to the processor (see column 4 line 45-54).

With regard to claim 8, and applying the rejection of claim 1 above, Bruckner discloses 2 reducing the temperature by shutting down the computer (see column 3 line 38-47).

With regard to claim 9, and applying the rejection of claim 1 above, Bruckner discloses reducing the temperature by first increasing the speed of a fan contained in the computer (see column 2 line 53) and, if the storage device is later determined to still be too hot, reducing one or both of a clock speed of and a voltage provided to a processor contained in the computer (see column 2 line 54-56) and, if the storage device is still later determined to be too hot, shutting down the computer (see column 1 line 55-61 & column 3 line 27-29).

With regard to claim 10, and applying the rejection of claim 1 above, Bruckner discloses data regarding temperature operating parameters of the storage device and using that data to determine whether the storage device is or is not too hot (see Table 1).

With regard to claim 11 Bruckner discloses a method for cooling a processor based device contained in a computer (see abstract) by periodically measuring the temperature of the device (see column 4 line 32-36), with a temperature sensor provided in or on the device (see column 4 line 32-36), and periodically providing temperature data including the measured

temperature and temperature operating parameters for the device to a basic input/output system (BIOS) so that the BIOS can control operation of the computer in an effort to cool the device (see column 3 line 30-37).

However, Bruckner fails to disclose specifically the processor based device is a storage device.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the temperature control method of Bruckner for a processor based device within a storage device that contains a processor.

Accordingly, such a modification would have been obvious since Bruckner teaches a processor based storage device such as a hard disk drive may suffer in performance if operated beyond certain temperature conditions (see column 1 line 40-45 & column 2 line 44-47), thereby suggesting the obviousness of the modification.

With regard to claim 12, and applying the rejection of claim 11 above, Bruckner discloses measuring the temperature of the device in response to commands received by a device driver stored memory of the computer (see column 3 line 30-37).

With regard to claim 14, and applying the rejection of claim 11 above, Bruckner discloses periodically providing temperature data by providing the data to a storage device driver of the computer that provides the data to the BIOS(see column 3 line 30-37).

With regard to claim 15, and applying the rejection of claim 11 above, Bruckner discloses periodically providing temperature by providing information regarding an ideal temperature operating range and a critical temperature to the BIOS (see Table 1 & column 3 line 30-37).

With regard to claim 16 Bruckner discloses a system for cooling a processor based device in a computer (see abstract) with means for measuring the temperature of the device (see column 4 line 32-36), the means being directly associated with the device (see column 4 line 32-36), means for sending the measured temperature (see column 4 line 32-34), and means for adjusting operation of the computer in relation to the measured temperature (see column 2 line 58 through column 3 line 47). However, Bruckner fails to disclose specifically the processor based device is a storage device.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the temperature control method of Bruckner for a processor based device within a storage device that contains a processor.

Accordingly, such a modification would have been obvious since Bruckner teaches a processor based storage device such as a hard disk drive may suffer in performance if operated beyond certain temperature conditions (see column 1 line 40-45 & column 2 line 44-47), thereby suggesting the obviousness of the modification.

With regard to claim 17, and applying the rejection of claim 16 above, Bruckner discloses a temperature sensor provided in or on the storage device (see column 4 line 32-36).

With regard to claim 19, and applying the rejection of claim 17 above, Bruckner discloses the means for sending the measured temperature comprise a controller of the device (see column 4 line 32-34).

With regard to claim 20, and applying the rejection of claim 17 above, Bruckner discloses the means for adjusting operation of the computer comprises a basic input/output system (BIOS) (see column 3 line 30-37).

With regard to claim 21, and applying the rejection of claim 20 above, Bruckner discloses the BIOS is configured to increase the speed of a fan contained in the computer (see column 2 line 53), reduce one or both of a clock speed of and a voltage provided to a processor contained in the computer (see column 2 line 54-56), or shut down the computer if the device is too hot (see column 1 line 55-61 & column 3 line 27-29).

With regard to claim 22 Bruckner discloses a system stored on a computer readable medium (see column 3 line 30-37) with logic configured to read a temperature of a processor based device (see column 4 line 32-36), logic configured to command the logic configured to read a temperature to read that temperature (see column 4 line 34-36 & column 5 line 5-6) and logic configured to receive the read temperature and to control operation of a computer relative to the read temperature (see column 2 line 58 through column 3 line 47). However, Bruckner fails to disclose specifically the processor based device is a storage device.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the temperature control method of Bruckner for a processor based device within a storage device that contains a processor.

Accordingly, such a modification would have been obvious since Bruckner teaches a processor based storage device such as a hard disk drive may suffer in performance if operated beyond certain temperature conditions (see column 1 line 40-45 & column 2 line 44-47), thereby suggesting the obviousness of the modification.

With regard to claim 23, and applying the rejection of claim 22 above, Bruckner discloses the logic configured to read a temperature is configured to reside in memory of the device (see column 3 line 30-37).

With regard to claim 24, and applying the rejection of claim 22 above, Bruckner discloses logic configured to read a temperature comprises a storage device driver (see column 3 line 30-37).

With regard to claim 25, and applying the rejection of claim 22 above, Bruckner discloses the logic configured to command the read temperature and to control operation of a computer comprises a computer basic input/output system (B1OS) (see column 3 line 30-37).

With regard to claim 26 Bruckner discloses a thermal monitor (see abstract) with logic configured to command a processor based device driver to periodically collect temperature data

from the device (see column 3 line 30-37 & column 4 line 32-36 & column 5 line 5-6), with logic configured to provide the collected temperature data to a computer basic input/output system (BIOS) to enable the BIOS to control operation of the computer (see column 3 line 30-37 & column 2 line 58 through column 3 line 47). However, Bruckner fails to disclose specifically the processor based device is a storage device.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the temperature control method of Bruckner for a processor based device within a storage device that contains a processor.

Accordingly, such a modification would have been obvious since Bruckner teaches a processor based storage device such as a hard disk drive may suffer in performance if operated beyond certain temperature conditions (see column 1 line 40-45 & column 2 line 44-47), thereby suggesting the obviousness of the modification.

With regard to claim 27 Bruckner discloses acomputer basic input/output system (BIOS) (see column 3line 30-37), with logic configured to receive a temperature of a processor based device measured by the device (see column 4 line 32-36), logic configured to compare the measured temperature with temperature operating parameters for the device (see Table 1), and logic configured to control operation of a computer in which the device is provided in a manner that reduces the temperature of the device (see column 2 line 58 through column 3 line 47). However, Bruckner fails to disclose specifically the processor based device is a storage device.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the temperature control method of Bruckner for a processor based device within a storage device that contains a processor.

Accordingly, such a modification would have been obvious since Bruckner teaches a processor based storage device such as a hard disk drive may suffer in performance if operated beyond certain temperature conditions (see column 1 line 40-45 & column 2 line 44-47), thereby suggesting the obviousness of the modification.

With regard to claim 28, and applying the rejection of claim 27 above, Bruckner discloses the logic is configured to control operation of a computer comprises logic configured to increase the speed of a fan contained in the computer (see column 2 line 53), reducing one or both of a clock speed of and a voltage provided to a processor contained in the computer (see column 2 line 54-56), or shut down the computer if the storage device is too hot (see column 1 line 55-61 & column 3 line 27-29).

Claims 3, 13, and 18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. 4. Patent No. 6,496,346 as applied to claims 1, 11, and 17 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,169,442 to Meehan et al.

With regard to claims 3, 13, 18 the primary reference to Bruckner fails disclose measuring the temperature of the storage device using a thermal diode of the device.

The secondary reference to Meehan et al. discloses using a thermal diode to measure the temperature of a storage device (see column 12 line 17-20).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have specified measuring the temperature of the storage device using a thermal diode of the device.

Accordingly, such a modification would have been obvious since Meehan et al. teaches using a thermal diode allows for remotely measuring temperature of a device (see column 12 line 23-25), thereby suggesting the obviousness of the modification.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- U.S. Patent No. 6,535,798 to Bhatia et al. because it teaches thermal computer control using processor clock control.
- U.S. Patent No. 6,029,119 and 6,336,080 to Atkinson because they teach thermal management of computers using indirect measurements to establish temperature.
- U.S. Patent No. 6,134,667 to Suzuki et al. because it teaches a storage device with integrated temperature sensor for determining when to activate a cooling fan.
- U.S. Patent No. 6,865,506 to Escobar et al. because it teaches a storage device testing environment with temperature control.
- U.S. Patent No. 6,636,910 to Kung et al. because it teaches thermal control circuitry utilizing frequency control.

Application/Control Number: 10/812,327 Page 12

Art Unit: 2863

U.S. Patent No. 6,414,843 to Takeda because it teaches a thermal control system for a computer utilizing a remote sensor.

U.S. Patent No. 6,446,213 to Yamaki because it teaches thermal control system for a computer utilizing a thermal diode in a processor.

U.S. Patent No. 6,848,054 to Watts, Jr. because it teaches a thermal control system for a computer utilizing clock control and power conservation.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony T. Dougherty whose telephone number is (571) 272-2273. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E. Barlow can be reached on (571) 272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

atd

Supervisory - which Examiner Technology Conter 2800